

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/965,644	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BITENSKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Teresa E. Strzelecka	<b>Art Unit</b> 1637	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Teresa E. Strzelecka.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Ronald Eisenstein.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 November 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Eisenstein was contacted because claim 3 was cancelled in the amendment filed September 26, 2006, but the dependent claims 5-8 were not. It was agreed that claim 3 will be reinstated as new claim 54, and claims 5-8 will be amended to depend from claim 54. .